2009 Iowa Plan RFP Bid Evaluation Scoring Tool

TECHNICAL COMPONENT

7A.2 Programmatic Overview ---- 60%

This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 150 pages. Does it exceed? Y/(N?)

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):	
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.2 Enrollees 65 and Older	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet	
'A.2.2	Massachuarts??? Not awar of VD pragrams for 65t.	
 Did the bidder describe the experience it has in treating individuals aged 65 and older? Did the bidder identify other states in which coverage has been provided? If so, do the referenced examples demonstrate experience that will benefit efforts to serve Iowans 65 and older? Did the bidder identify challenges and identify strategies for surmounting any identified challenges? Did the examples demonstrate a thorough understanding of the population and how to serve it? If there any recommended additions to the provider network as part of the proposal intended to better serve those aged 65 and older, do they appear appropriate and likely to be effective? Is there a proposed transition plan to ensure the continuity of care while enrolling the population into the Iowa Plan, including a communication plan? Is the communication plan sufficiently detailed and does it demonstrate an approach that is appropriate and likely to be effective? 	Referred number of other 1) to 3: NO DETAILS I cannot ascens appropriate SM 5505:1.3 To IA. Eduphed of challengs/barners & states; & to allow jeg. Morna land of them Drahes Training + and my Rx 570m Rx 570m Rx 570m Rx 570m Rx 570m Rx 60000 fin. EBP No real wild home to Panish NI trunk. Trans. Training to construction to Panish NI trunk. Trans. Trans. Las rech. Extendirected care + participation in Alcolors	

Vair- IA-center of A.).

Peter my ti- registent for hund,

failly the help plan of transition — continuity of core

for Not necessary feel specifically to anight ritinates

of 65 t population

Bidder Name: Value / Op Jons.

√7A.2.3.a) Coordination and Integration of Services (Sections 4.1, 4A, 4B, and 5A of the RFP)

0

Sub-Section Score (circle one):

Meets With Distinction

(Meets)

Partially Meets

Fails to Meet

- 1. Did the bidder describe the strategies it would take to coordinate and integrate service delivery for <u>each</u> of the five types of Eligible Persons and Enrollees? <u>Eligible Persons with:</u>
 - (1) concurrent mental health and substance abuse conditions
 - (2) concurrent mental health and/or substance abuse conditions plus concurrent medical conditions
 - (3) concurrent mental health and/or substance abuse conditions and involved with the adult correctional system

Enrollees with:

(4) concurrent mental health needs and mental retardation

Eligible Persons with:

- (5) mental health and/or substance abuse conditions with involvement with the child welfare/juvenile justice system)
- 2. Are the strategies appropriate and are they likely to be effective?
- 3. Do they effectively embody the philosophy and program goals in that they, among other things:
 - · emphasize honoring Eligible Persons' choice of service provider,
 - promote the philosophy that Eligible Persons should be able to remain in their homes and communities, and
 - demonstrate that the bidder is committed to working with all providers serving the enrollees to ensure blended and coordinated service delivery?
- 4. Did the bidder provide examples of its experience in other states with respect to coordination and integration of services and how it will be applied in Iowa? Is the experience relevant and likely to be beneficial to Iowa?

Prompted evenil structure + Appace to Carl Co-adiration though a Eyinvil

DEdastar on Edn hity There from to competens. As I It; Algue Real on 1/4 prace - maky from by it opposed - 16149 - 2.64 Janok

@ Essentia can pagam-M. meMP . - not mest described.

3) Toil Division Pryorm. Tricling to - e- offeton *th. - her of they ford which is only defined mode to Uturnie E(j. 5/4 states?

Privas Jail Division - how military has This to IA?

Perely working at Frankyo i DO/NZ pantis - alget is ting goods into the 24 recent a time parties i deal competitions. Recording Brown & Jul - CAASP - strayto of chille family.

At - mable report term: (0 - joint regard

Drunitative/CW - one of IA on white you for such a contraction white for MA 3 collection for white.

forded a structure of commitment to work, is provided le 15.26 o Aniles.

Care Co-politation roll for vist.

Expendres in the 18th controllerant

mt clear han they mis be reprint to IA (ALTERNATION OF THE PARTY OF T

A Regimal Teams: Wo she'de faither - le teams. } conservations of corrections of fecus in high neal Eightes! - identify; intensive and a rother time.

Truck externors / white her was connections.

Chame Run legrans - First the specialists -> nowiged the bis system/ writing was creativation with type: Individual a system basely - front find a southern on individual basely.

Chample IX & parts into the regimal conf-court with teams - being to perfect on of providues.

$\sqrt{7A.2.4}$ Rehabilitation, Recovery, and Strength-Based Approach to Services (Sections 4.A.2 and 4.B.2 of the RFP)

(2)

Meets With Distinction

Meets Partially Meets

Fails to Meet

- 1. Does the bidder's proposal include a detailed explanation of its experience providing behavioral health services through a recovery-oriented approach?
- 2. Does the bidder's proposal describe in detail the model it proposes to implement?
- 3. Does the bidder's proposal recognize the priority for effecting change during the contract period? Does the response provide details for realistic actions that the bidder intends to take during the contract period to affect change?
- 4. Does the response specifically identify the bidder's approach with respect to:
 - Contractor interactions with Eligible Persons?
 - service system planning and design?
 - provider adoption of a rehabilitation, recovery and strength-based approach to services?
- 5. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?

Joint to energy: hise PETO; 2 law specialists - members supply min)

for an emproy of Typhen to maintain relationing = Eig. 14

touth of the local variable.

- she funder thinks a family less hope of Amistra Paymons
per there is I sum want, Allians he must be alled I A. ex

There of I felt in which rainy in they.

Sub-Section Score (circle one):

Grahity & four gaps & Jours Fol. of Families DB 03. Me-Lind
work.
- Eighte / tani) number will be on every Com smarshed & other is
men + Family men. Committee.
Consider therefore - hear will of Minhors.

- Comitment to Etystile involvement. when I have this mean?

(by the Ipm Nitwork: members to fam) wenter as part of africant term.

- perspecially; member to family-directly with, wellow Reamy Arkon Man.

Netrock of Empower to his - support ups, outseen to shelps; Improved.

(3.) Hostel; where Enver.

Mit training for perspecialists - empowerment.

(4. her approx (family approx interest of a lin clinical up - myt team.

(2) It midd: Cretis a cettre of aspiration, notication country by by.

Craptic hold history win; pertagation; perman us; permay by by, remay body, led to
Octoms

John a Core Condition - of the off condition links.
For your for your was found, Flying Fundy.

Corporation mumber emporement by an

Corporation mumber from last st.

Planta of the which has to have souther han many,

Conducted by mynthes /femi) manh.

Town - meetings: Introduction billing (coperity horibly)

From:)-manhar power partnership.

Record + Resilveng leaving Loby power hour.

Production of the power hour.

L'ontroin tipes for Parish

Eineld Beld - Paterine Payment.

(5) Whit is built anterpold reintre? 2, this too alter Theating to Moveds? Are there premby a firm his wanty this?

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.5 Person-Centered Care (Section 7A.2.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.5.a)	Approch and for vore fraiblem . Piene brish
Does the bidder's response describe the philosophy of how to best involve Eligible Persons in the planning of their care?	Approch and pay vore free I blem . Piene brish Then epper he chillen of fam, his oper follow fam by Bur hyp + punt by. Wrap and Margles for the plane).
2. Does the description include:	- Dilf-Puted (al syp.) words IA SPC p. 1/2
 how the bidder intends to assure that the Eligible Person and, as appropriate, family members, participate in treatment planning? descriptions of instances in which the bidder has successfully employed such strategies under other contracts? 	- E13.64-153 ph of energy -V - Auditi) alhure by pants - Crost Auts by shall x Per x Fami, Be Bea. 21.313. No. Maron of other W. Taily thin he from - success W' contacts
3. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	k) interior of or
4. Do the cited examples of experience demonstrate working knowledge that will benefit Iowa?	W, Taily thin he from -succe, on the will confuct)
7A.2.5.b)	
1. Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to the implementation of strategies to involve Eligible Persons in the planning of their care?	

7A.2.6 Covered Services, Required Services, Optional Services (Sections 4A.3, 4A.4 and 4B.3 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
 √7A.2.6.a) Is the bidder's proposed strategy to ensure statewide capacity sufficiently detailed to understand what it intends to do? Is the bidder's proposed strategy appropriate and likely to be effective? 	Yes it is reflected) detail - There have be all equited tends. It appears so.		
 √7A.2.6.b) Does the analysis include an identification of service gaps and the basis on which the bidder has made its determination? Was the bidder's methodology to identify service gaps comprehensive, rigorous, and 	199 it does a city summer tours - was site VRC). It appears so : documetration; whitehom any is; tain grap.		
valid? 3. Were any major gaps of which the evaluator is aware missed? 4. Does the bidder's proposal for how the gaps would be addressed seem appropriate?	Mysich wens very ambition - fortim regality fording to		
 5. Did the bidder provide a plan for addressing the gaps, with an implementation timeline? 6. Did the bidder address the following areas in its plan in a comprehensive and informed fashion: Level I Sub-acute Facility services delivery? 24 hour mental health stabilization services? Substance abuse peer support/recovery coaching? 	you - find y ambigues the may tends & bidde addesed such of The ata minimus included externel (is) of apr avis,		
7. Are the plan and timeline for addressing the service gaps appropriate and likely to be effective to enable the bidder to make all required mental health services available to the majority of Iowa Plan enrollees by the end of the second contract year?	justing from 19! he and isn't regarding from 19!		

t Not dear whol is mean! By " Establish findy fixed huring contract regalia king"

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.6 Covered Services, Required Services, Optional Services (Sections 4A.3, 4A.4 and 4B.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
√7A.2.6.c)	
 Did the bidder describe the process by which integrated mental health services and supports will be authorized? If so, does the process appear to be appropriate and utilizing appropriately skilled staff? 	195 - Ice moins: 195
2. Did the bidder provide any parameters that would be implemented to guide the authorization of integrated services and supports? If so, do the parameters appear to be appropriate?	195; "Mun b & graph & thush sparse. mentand medito) nevert int
5. Did the bidder provide examples of comparable past experience providing integrated mental health services and supports? If so, do the cited examples demonstrate working knowledge that will benefit Iowa?	Anthy read for psychology of the said, should be to the said, so when said, so when said, so when said, so we have the said, so we have the said of the said, so we have the said of the said, so we have the said of the said
7A.2.6.d)	
1. Did the bidder describe how it will incorporate evidence-based practice into its management and how it will impact the services offered through the Iowa Plan?	199 - not (lea homit mi) affect hours. EBPS Holy/child finetrome to EBPS Holy/child finetrome to year 1 concerns finish. Year 2 white with the Teland
2. Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	Year 2 white with the thing
7A.2.6.e)	No Year 3 Art situation
 Does the bidder identify any services for which it will not reimburse due to moral or religious grounds? If yes, is there a complete explanation of these services? 	(This response should not be scored. The question is for informational purposes only) The question is for informational purposes only)

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
7A.2.7 Organization of Utilization Management Staff (Section 5A.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
7A.2.7.a)			
 Did the bidder describe its organization of the Utilization Management Staff, including: number of staff? credentials and expertise? the rationale for the mix of expertise? roles of different types of staff? methods to maximize coordination between UM staff and local delivery systems? methods to ensure continuity of UM for Eligible Persons making frequent use of the delivery system? Is the number of Utilization Management staff, which the bidder proposes per region, and their expertise, well supported and appropriate? Is it clear that the staff will be knowledgeable of the services available in each region. Are the roles proposed by the bidder for each of the different types of Utilization Management staff appropriate? Are there roles or types of staff which should have been included but were not? Is the proposed approach to maximize coordination with local service delivery systems appropriate and likely to be effective? Is the proposed approach to ensure continuity for Eligible Persons making frequent 	Superale Converted funders to Talley send. 14th regionally housed ICC's. Central Function at last last / Regard Functions ICC. Personal Structured ICC flus producelations should court this. yes. (?) spriting to reduce at of parisher (IP) who mill equive the howdern performence. Are ICC performing IC on Anatom? It appears so. Structured roles a responsibilities appear to be desponsible at 1. tally to the effective.		
use of the delivery system appropriate and likely to be effective?	(Direct clear how this mil he done in contact it cansons to this pection		
7A.2.7.b)			
1. Did the bidder's other clients for which it has organized UM staff to maximize coordination with local service systems confirm the effectiveness of the bidder's performance?			

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.8.a)	for to My Must
1. Do the UM Guidelines the bidder would use in authorizing mental health services appear to be appropriate?	- Noting. MY cas multistraum & milel. VO Mis not inapported prychosocial necessity citain it appears - and some obt Town.
2. If the bidder attached guidelines for the application of ASAM criteria, do the guidelines the bidder would use for the authorization or retrospective monitoring of substance abuse services appear to be appropriate?	NA
7A.2.8.b)	(mets)
 Did the bidder describe how UM Guidelines would generally be applied to authorize or retrospectively review services? 	yes - registerion pour to must sails; for the higher-intensity units
2. Did the bidder address how it would both manage the appropriateness of treatment duration and also manage potentially high volumes of service requests?	yes-free hom: reneral totalen pour; registerelands fit negisteel- pronge may be at the poor. high which hack up it needed.
3. Does the approach to outpatient service authorization address management of appropriateness review in a manner likely to be efficient <u>and</u> effective?	197: a hour-t: color of con he life lile.
7A.2.8.c)	(nuis)
 Did the bidder discuss special issues in applying the guidelines for at least some of the following services and populations: substance abuse services for pregnant and parenting women? substance abuse services provided to Enrollees in PMICs? mental health inpatient services provided to Enrollee children in state mental health institutes? Eligible Persons with concurrent need for both mental health and substance abuse treatment? Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)? If so, does the bidder appear to have a thorough understanding of what special issues might arise and of how to address them? Were there any issues the evaluator felt should be addressed that were omitted? 	- 497 - Ju Augs: 000 Pill), Mys C st. 7 1. wa of stelly for a principal hard of million for the pay f. - 497 - My And delpill - 207 . Hy An cockin m Lad - 207 . Ehrstes and white the for mild of the Immitted by with a port of them.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.8.d)	
Did the bidder list any services or levels of care for which prior authorize not be required?	νων PA
2. Do the levels of care for which the bidder has indicated it won't require authorization appear to be appropriate, given both access to care and comanagement objectives?	prior yes, a find of will not special PA- est
3. Did the bidder describe a QI-related circumstance that would lead the b request state approval for prior authorization?	+ perile
4. Does the prior authorization circumstance demonstrate experience and Does the quality improvement circumstance example align with care an management objectives?	knowledge? 7 & sterph i) proist hould you real or that a monable to parte to the him out a monable to come hom. The halfy must be for your case when you are house how. The halfy must be for how how.
7A.2.8.e)	(a hally neet)
Did the bidder describe how it would self-evaluate the clinical effective administrative efficiency of UM authorization processes?	ness and - yes: very mentalle white for mound they he for your
2. Does the bidder's proposal to self-evaluate the clinical effectiveness and administrative efficiency of the authorization processes rely upon robust meaningful measurement of performance?	st and
3. Did the bidder describe circumstances under which it might waive pro- review requirements for certain providers?	spective -? purks pet benn stick skeekend in part perhamma-) valuebelied program besome (sk
4. Does the bidder's description of circumstances under which prospective review might be waived for certain providers demonstrate a well-reason to balancing appropriate utilization management with limiting administration requirements of providers?	e utilization oned approach oned approach oned approach one of the on

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
7A.2.8 Utilization Management Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
7A.2.8.f)	Marine less al alm + oll of the		
1. Did the bidder describe how it would operationalize the state's concepts of "psychosocial necessity" and "service need"?	put dear to an that MBH Elmer teke into a come of all of the shall or iterial for psyches will recent to the may be considered in the psyches will recent to the may be considered in the person of the shall in the		
2. Did the description contrast the proposed approach with that used for "medical necessity' under other contracts, or if not applicable, explain how the concepts dif	ffer?		
3. Does the bidder's approach for operationalizing the state's concept of "psychosoc necessity" in the authorization process for mental health services align with the state's objectives, as put forth in Section 5A.3.1 of the RFP?	, I '		
2. Did the bidder's distinction between "medical necessity" and the concepts of "psychosocial necessity" and "service need convey a good understanding of how approaches differ?	the would have likely make on this would are likely has more of MA Guillines & Myrana blown, 3.		
7A.2.8.g)			
1. Did the bidder describe the process the bidder would implement for the administrative authorization of services (when contractual requirements mandate authorization and reimbursement for services that do not fall within the contracto UM guidelines)?	e the or's exallment.		
2. Does the process the bidder proposes for implementing the administrative authorization of services appear to be appropriate?	- Y57		
3. Did the bidder include in its description the way in which the bidder would allow for authorization for services provided during all the months of enrollment even Medicaid eligibility is determined after the initiation of services?	W if 197 199- ai appeach - tritis sa pendes mans continue and taking Clares Condine tag		
4. Does it appear that this process treats providers fairly and will be effective?	Continue Class Continue to		
	Type nik (Inital Maryan)		

		Sul	o-Section Sco	re (circle one):	
7A.2.8 Utilization Man	agement Guidelines (Section 5A.3 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.2.8.h)		I Superior Core Contractor;	Robert lad	myt; InHault Can	mingt.] -w
Did the bidder des certain Iowa Plan I Management?	cribe how it would provide Intensive Clinical Management to Enrollees, and the relationship of those activities to Targeted Case	- you have to so			ey allor
	process for providing Intensive Clinical Management appear kely to be effective?	yos: Tack divelys can p		and the same of th	
3. Is the bidder's pro- Case Management	posed relationship of Intensive Clinical Management and Targeted appropriate and likely to be effective?	Her myh it istil	a hit cm/	rsel-	
7A.2.8.i)			(mut)		
1. Did the bidder des	cribe how it would provide 24 hour crisis management?	-48 24/2 -phone -	Throughy	Haffel.	
2. Is the bidder's pro reflective of the cu effective?	posed approach to provision of 24-hour crisis management rrent state of that service in Iowa, appropriate, and likely to be	- 33 17 eWran 10	,		
3. Did the bidder prostates?	ovide examples of how that service has been provided in other	+ + + - North the examp	nle - cin	s inform-fim. schilothic emegacy	anhot
4. Do the bidder's exbenefit to Iowa?	amples demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of	- Ys, coll 61			

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.9 Required Elements of Individual Service Coordination & Treatment Planning (Sections 1.9, 4B.2.2 and 5A.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 7A.2.9.a) 1. Did the bidder describe the 24-hour crisis and referral service that the Bidder would make available to Eligible Persons, including: how the Bidder would ensure the availability of clinicians with expertise in providing mental health and substance abuse services to children? how the 24-hour crisis and referral service would interface with the emergency crisis service system? 	1 s; 24/7 porme unies - clinicions - nove on Gell- nom transe
 Does it appear that the bidder's 24-hour crisis and referral service utilizes appropriately trained staff? 	197 - upward that trained to idnj. Try to neds (eromos - engrying of do whereh & graphed resime.
3. Does it appear that the bidder's 24-hour crisis and referral service would provide sufficient access to clinicians with child mental health and substance abuse expertise?	1.5 - when I to come it pades + Cisis from the min part
2. Does the bidder's response depict a process that would ensure that the 24-hour crisis and referral service appropriately and effectively interfaces with the emergency crisis service system?	duda carrier maled / comming portnersing
√7A.2.9.b)	hat are the marke within for hija nik! hija held
Did the bidder describe a process for identifying those Eligible Persons who have demonstrated the need for a high level of services or who are at risk of high utilization of services?	- will a heard heir and all nixes & 12 portered
2. Does the bidder's process for identifying those Eligible Persons appear to capture all of those in need of individual service coordination and treatment planning in a timely and efficient manner?	win mut i prot, puntes & identity policides in read thinkeliar sint telestant plans mealings:
3. Did the bidder describe how it would initiate ongoing treatment planning and coordination with the Iowa Plan Eligible Persons and all others appropriate for planning the Eligible Person's treatment?	id the high isk (high read - they ha
4. Does the bidder's process for initiating ongoing treatment planning and coordination appear to be appropriate and likely to be effective?	chial can my From Herrys - ICC criped

- autin dujoing atome tarking

Sub-Section Score (circle one):
Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
Join Pourt + proclections in ASAM critery shouth yind fours; nets hard confune and a face to kee . - Junily Trylee was kity open mole // trackety? who it it close Comminion him to counts // does and comput?
Cloth Comments May 1 & row +2/1 " part.
and the second of the second o
- must i IA medical booking to inter Ity denter & frame's must be pool-
- freshing + extension of new-4 does; by the cours. - K = provides require Knowing enables 100 - and treated - paths - return of into requirement; themas conset - return of into requirement; themas conset - return of into requirement; and is a storing into - into this plans - return of the provides are storing into - into this plans - return of the plans are storing into - into this plans - return of the plans are storing into - into this plans - return of the plans are storing into - into this plans - return of the plans are storing into - into this plans are storing into -
- relieur of into register of metals are short into his plans
- alastar enclus o fim. lie, e. ing. trul, - alastar enclus o fim. lie, e. ing. trul,
- alater enclose formilies e. ing. form. Getter & Henrin Mens -> kinjon pelicies for returns, ich high nisk; Grandwild and mit; monitory into exchange his truster partier. - many anieral relace form
Menifringesthent: MANACHOLETT) -> University Roleines From which can be eselfy Bit periles & med. - in for them & reflect patterns into be one present by

Mes or furthery. They list many effects or man-likes/
continually from left to TA. continually from like to TA. continually from like to the Rx outlines &

which foolity impresent oper from the .

13

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):	
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.10 Children in Transition (Section 5A.6.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet	
7A.2.10.a)	Expendence in MA: CFFC & Community NJ: MI Aystern At: CSP miled of lead yours.	
 Did the bidder provide comprehensive and detailed descriptions of experience transitioning children from inpatient settings, including specific examples of hospital and PMIC-like entities? 	At: CSP miled of lead yours.	
2. Did the bidder provide successful strategies for putting in place effective discharge placement from such settings?	- mointain conerd IA from white and egrant to in him will should be child plans It should be shown home harm grants + child plans It should be shown for the colored like few by 7 (The colored like	
3. Does the bidder's described experience demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	yours A-4 to Colored 1.4	

- For Seer Synt : 165 - One of Toning of Synt has
been recentlink up j Eins in now.

Asoud tris wheling to the edu ER whit. Papernord Remo From hies: they his x JA in allehuch - TPHI. put of hour of strik kind 1,50). Jan Lua, GAIIM Approch for elevent to be hoght from stompts in Mu 1/2 - didn't tilkalit chat getty had home fun Il ultip -[]

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
7A.2.11 Appeal Process (Section 5B.2 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
7A.2.11.a)			
1. Did the bidder describe a process and provide an accompanying flowchart for the review of Enrollee appeals?	the complete the complete to		
2. Does the flowchart provide timeframes from receipt of the request, and through ear review phase, up to notification?	in affailments.		
3. Is the described process consistent with the requirements contained in Section 5B.2 the RFP, including the following and other requirements:	of		
 provision of written notice acknowledging the receipt of a request for review and reasonable assistance with filing appeals, if requested? 	annite anarum of		
 100% of all expedited appeals will be resolved within 3 working days of recei of an appeal. All non-expedited appeals shall be resolved within 14 days of the receipt of the appeal and 100% shall be resolved within 45 days of the rece of the appeal? 	1 + le Energy They's army		
 provision of a written notice of disposition that includes the requirements outlined in 5B.2.11 of the RFP? 			

Turnian & DHS (?) Anist Enlles or Alig Aprels Thoya ments should Relations Ffet & Clinical Care myer Thering Printy Arthur + Zerony Arnhoseds to mit Enellar.

7A.2.12 Grievance and Complaint Process (Sections 5B.1, 5B.3 and 5B.4 of the RFP)	Sub-Section Score (circle one): Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Did the bidder describe the processes it would put in place for the review of Enrollees grievances and Eligible Persons complaints? Is the described process consistent with the requirements contained in Section 5B.3 of the RFP, including the following and other requirements: Enrollees or their designees may initiate a grievance either orally, to be followed up in writing, or just in writing; complaints from DPH-eligible participants regarding treatment programs will be directed to DPH? provision of written notice acknowledging the receipt of a the grievance? rendering all decisions in writing with notice of right to additional review and information on the process to initiate additional review? 95% of all complaints and grievances shall be resolved within 14 days of receipt of all required documentation and 100% shall be resolved within 90 days of the receipt of all required documentation? 	Exermitation in the precess of real form). The complete of the set of the complete of the com

7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)



Sub-Section Score (circle one):

Meets With Distinction

Meets Partially Meets

Fails to Meet

7A.2.13.a)

- 1. Did the bidder describe how it would ensure that the provider network is adequate and that access is maintained or increased to meet the needs of Iowa Plan Eligible Persons?
- 2. Does the proposed approach to ensuring an adequate provider network and access appear appropriate and likely to be effective?
- 3. Did the bidder identify where there are potential issues of lack of capacity within the Bidder's network, and steps it would take to increase capacity?
- 4. Are the identified potential issues reflective of the current Iowa service system?
- 5. Are the proposed steps to increase capacity appropriate and likely to be effective?
- 6. Did the bidder provide examples from current contracts of how it has ensured network adequacy in states with a shortage of psychiatrists or other specific behavioral health professionals?
- 7. Do the bidder's examples from other states demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?

Venety of mynds & meent alon includy: let-Alon; Mytunk Adjung

Experts; Timbium of knit Am + i letted Re Die Rept ; fleuch Diensth f.

Jeget puides * all * nethere week you previty special xis.

Fande Reconstruct: "yelly already have previty special xis."???

Fande Reconstruct: "yelly already have previden under contact"???

Network Developm + Plm.

Men: Myriam 11 Addes.

Telebergh in (b), Tx, 10, TN +NM)

gracell be how is firty near to.

7A.2.13.b)

- 1. Did the bidder describe proposed strategies to bring services to underserved communities, including, but not limited to, for:
 - the use of telehealth and distance treatment options?
 - provision of child psychiatric consultation services to primary care clinicians?
- Do the bidder's proposed strategies to bring services to underserved communities appear likely to result in improved access?

St of a Country in Jame key the then the Reference / Northe theas

Telebooth: Wenchially exad telebook - and the telebook by

- Merkichlusty of BA Pytol Y. FJA Glandy.

Child Byon (mal kpm 14).
ful for count = child pychatal. - ma metal

Partie), CHCi, ISH & RHE & Scholland chas.

12-Green (v. telepsychiaty mikotile, -R+ m) +. / micros theorito

NM-Helbert

17

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):		
7A.2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet		
 7A.2.13.c) Did the bidder describe its experience under other contracts to ensure delivery of services to underserved communities when provider network capacity was initially found to be inadequate? Did the bidder's description of experience addressing initial network inadequacy for underserved communities in states where there was a shortage of psychiatrists demonstrate effectiveness? Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to addressing initial network inadequacy for underserved communities? 	Aland care - included 2d pm- Indian Har Im Ivo tadihard harting my. Fish. crin comman sy ret well a halidel. the new old in Nov.		
 √7A.2.13.d) Did the bidder describe its experience implementing Medicaid managed behavioral health programs in which it successfully promoted the development of: psychiatric rehabilitation services? mental health self-help and peer support groups? peer education services? Does the bidder's description document its experience and success promoting the development of these three services and making them available to enrollees? Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to promoting the development of and implementing psychiatric rehabilitation services, mental health self-help and peer support groups, and peer education services? 	MA: Remy being Convenies - 6 regles (plan-an (weary a to.) Conver Patistichen - Toi - In Meron Bu cont to & Peterto for New Steaten Eque 1. (Pur hypert it of theme. Puel Bury Arm. Co. Per Election PA - Milet prijet - lee hope t via Talibut.		

		Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
/A.	2.13 Requirements for the Provider Network (Section 5C.1 of the RFP)	Meets	With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.	2.13.e)	KS	- grun & mallo	17: M64		
1.	Did the bidder describe its experience with contracts that include SAPT Block Grant funding?		,			
2.	Does the bidder's description demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	MW			h GOT	- ful
3.	Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to contract with provides for services funded by an SAPT Block Grant?	† ¥	Jlej	dent 11	e Man. ?	<i>)***</i>
7A.:	2.13.f)			11	. /	1 115-6
1.	Did the bidder describe its experience contracting with networks of comparable or	† <i>+</i>	- North Her -	or portal a	House of moen	MATURE RO
***************************************	greater size than those of the Iowa Plan within the timeframe afforded by this procurement?	M)	paceilibilit.	+ + 1	à araing do	-
2.	Does the bidder's description demonstrate experience and knowledge that would be of benefit to Iowa?	K				
3.	Did the bidder's references provide confirmation of the effectiveness of the bidder's past performance with respect to timely network contracting?	(T &L				

MT

7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)



Sub-Section Score (circle one):

Meets With Distinction

Meets Partially Meets

Fails to Meet

7A.2.14.a)

- 1. Did the bidder describe how it would actively manage quality of care provided by network providers of all covered service, including the Bidder's proposed methodology for conducting provider profiling and utilizing the profiles to generate quality improvement?
- 2. Does the content of provider profile reports for providers of child inpatient mental health services, providers of adult outpatient mental health services, and providers of Level II substance abuse services, appear to adequately capture the critical elements of the performance of each of those providers?
- 3. Do the reports contain indicators for performance which address clinical quality, access, utilization management, linkage with primary care physicians, and enrollee satisfaction, at a minimum?
- 4. Are the sample report content descriptions missing any major areas of provider performance one would expect to see in the report?
- 5. Is the timing of report distribution proposed by the bidder frequent enough to ensure that all provider and service types will be profiled and will receive reports at least quarterly?
- 6. Did the bidder describe explicitly how the bidder would interact with each provider following the distribution of each profile report?
- 7. Does the bidder's proposed approach for generating and facilitating improvement in the performance of each profiled provider seem like it will be effective?
- 8. Does the bidder's proposed approach include interactive communication between bidder staff and providers in which feedback is shared?
- 9. Did the bidder indicate how it would periodically assess provider progress on its implementation of strategies to attain improvement goals?
- 10. Did the bidder adequately describe its process for identifying areas of improvement with providers and setting improvement goals for priority areas in which provider performance falls below acceptable or benchmark levels?

The list priors & bu community - Enother advantes possibles,
spate exercises and to de frame politic sector pryains as story prior)

- List of messages and it of purplic sector pryains as story prior)

for men multiped above - most purplies

- minimum polity class to for SA legacous. - early epity; 200 legin; only high whome parts. - TA 12/14-9/10 lak - then gently &- Real have poude perhana perfly on Intelligence bound by Hom. steps: opti * Ain Ants = introdut proudes: forms -Rude Religions e, mal-one to conclitations of partiers.

- either perfections of part of a-could hely.

Apriles on confliction. Examples: peer in the + conty system is PA.

Mr. peer- uns -occording mynts. PA - BARS pufiles; control cont; whender ment - Chemidats & EBP adoption.

- Retre atom home - value liket to read high perform bet prote production they a a line phone Aurenay.

- where went subjection they a a line phone Aurenay the line,

vale lebet Fich to gen equite in Alos, step-dam; Alle phone; the contract

recognision ats; the rates 7 (30 day—HEDI). = Blick ashlown for # of days pradent : Smile t findi) pyen - her interheitop clinic ligar : Smile t findi) pyen - her or mennes - EBP, ele.

Fatre But beite beides of flows & remalles, etc. Penalher & agreen; com hu entir; ship referres; temoretores en extern end.

Okish Mai, by it was with the parace. Man to (M)

The for screened reach.

County Actor 240 Oct 11hd. (leasy out wedescale to pean from 20

Comol 4 pm; could reach in manify; Maniforial to pathet

Comol 4 pm; could reach in manify; Maniforial to James at a summer of the second and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second

well show the server

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet	
7A.2.14.a) (continued)					
11. Did the bidder describe a process of frequent reassessment of provider performance on improvement goals, including face-to-face meetings with appropriately qualified bidder staff? Does it appear appropriate and likely to be effective?					
12. Did the bidder provide examples for how provider profiling has been utilized to improve service delivery? Does the approach appear to have resulted in measurable quality improvement?					
13. Did the bidder describe how it intended to reward providers that demonstrate continued excellence or dramatic improvement in performance over time and how the bidder would share "best practice" methods or programs with providers of similar programs in its network?					
14. Did the bidder describe how it intended to penalize providers that demonstrate continued unacceptable performance or performance that does not improve over time?					
15. Does the proposed use of rewards and penalties appear appropriate and meaningful for network providers?					
16. Are the proposed methods for sharing best practices likely to support replication by other network providers?					

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.14 Network Management (Section 5C.5 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 7A.2.14.b) Did the bidder provide a description of how network management activities performed for other state clients that are comparable to those described in Section 5C.5? Did the description convincingly convey that the bidder has effectively operated 	KS Ru-jut + 1.0+. 1 3 Ny ams + TX 20 ys equated.
7A.2.14.c) 1. Did the bidder provide copies of provider profiles employed for two clients?	my populations: hery on whith the Why at relative populations of : ESP: A.
2. Do the profiles demonstrate the bidder's experience and capacity to generate the type of provider profiles required by this RFP?	PA. Aggest gras of pation ands for Conjustions & expension to
 3. Did the bidder describe measurable performance improvement that resulted from the provider profiles? 4. Is the bidder's demonstration of improvement resulting from the use of provider profiles credible and significant? 	meanth e. in ir Pa. I fly T II dle sol repit, citicolimti.
7A.2.14.d)	Fillowy alikhan: retuggether moderations a found yellor
1. The bidder describe how it would assure the accuracy of ISMART data submitted by the providers of substance abuse services comprehensive?	Con apt will be accorded - appointed & Reside has right to med's PR Team.
2. Is the proposed plan appropriate and likely to be effective?	ret'l PR Term.

·	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
√7A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.15.a)	Description it agrised DA um lagram includy regional am human Commences (from his : shipport & provided toplants.
1. Did the bidder describe experience in using data-driven evaluation of organization-wide initiatives to improve the health status of covered populations? (M1)	that mit also of feelihas - medital
Z. Does the bidder possess meaningral, successful experience at about a successful experience at a successful experience	PA (Atypes 1) -many
mental health quality – process measures	A Accord much since pertition of 176 to CSG MANDE A = Clinical Bit Decke in riside All Assumed . All of the Heart HEDIS - rets commend - what all of My to to Import als?? Despressing step-down books Plesh the Palasminul Englymetists comment. If all the comment.
7A.2.15.b)	1945 - agget lak it novey more
 Did the bidder describe its experience implementing instruments in publicly funded managed care programs that assess changes in functional status and/or recovery? 	KS. Q.A's - Acon + PAJENDS NEMS -
2. Did the bidder's description specify tools, populations, sample sizes, findings, and how the bidder acted upon it findings?	They could this "E"
3. Does the bidder's demonstrated experience indicate its capacity to implement such instruments in Iowa, and to make good use of the findings?	Ms. Tel implimiteto. Zuri inplimation throw exts in Discord men Payron die) out live up to provide in my extra pm / trave.

IA MORE TAFAS & Meas - Mults
A-CAFAS Fuyory children.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 Does the bidder describe an array of different methods by which consumers and family members would be proactively engaged by the bidder in the Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement program? Possible techniques that the bidder might have cited include: adding consumers and family members to bidder-sponsored quality improvement teams; using advisory groups or focus groups to advise the identification and design of possible improvement projects, and using surveys to elicit consumer and family members suggestions and/or feedback. Does it appear that consumers and family members would have a substantive role bidder in the Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement program based on the bidder's response? 	no not like my re-streeted to prize the heart 7. AZ.Y.
7A.2.15.d)	Therma Connect System
 Did the bidder describe how it would use pharmacy data to improve quality, including to: identify utilization that deviates from clinical practice guidelines for schizophrenia and major depression, and identify those Enrollees whose utilization of controlled substances warrants intervention either because of multiple prescribers, excessive quantities or prescribing that is inconsistent with the clinical profile of the Enrollee. 	restigations - Alexandir engil - notion. GOD - what regal & 1-2? L Alexander for fep 46th & high-wice species L. Alexander for fep 46th & high-wice species 1.5. Not for or Rx filler and - imm Hud. Alexander for for Compliance; Coly D/Cfax; D-plantage D; Poly Px.
Does the bidder's description demonstrate a good understanding of the use of pharmacy data for quality improvement and seem likely to be effective?	Repumm uls Anh-dep Rx. 2047 tral Confestion Rochin

Robert powe fel system.
Examples of hor well it works!

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
 7A.2.15.e) Did the bidder describe its identification of the greatest opportunities for quality improvement in public managed behavioral health programs like the Iowa Plan? Does the bidder's description of the greatest opportunities for quality improvement indicate a profound understanding of public sector behavioral health programs? Are the opportunities consistent with what the Evaluator might identify as high 	· Chlize for it oddened analytics · Especialsh' inthelp of persyment stap - includy Spanish · Italight retach (recordy & Let two paps · comples natural creditions to Gets + other com-paye for this.
 4. Are the quality improvement approaches described likely to result in improved function and well being for enrollees? 5. Did the bidder describe approaches to realize two such opportunities in Iowa? 6. Are the proposed approaches appropriate and likely to be effective? 	- Comme Soft Kith Team. MA - Phat Dr Being Children's System of Card of Engine Child Repose Not well constroled Maparel - arrayal by in is tability of sections - Forme Stoppisen have.
7A.2.15.f)	1
 Did the bidder describe experience adapting policy or procedures based on input from publicly funded consumers and advocacy groups? Did the bidder convincingly document that these efforts have had a measurable beneficial impact on its members? Do the bidder's references confirm that the bidder has used consumer and advocate input to shape policy and procedure and that this work has had a measurable impact on members? 	Ma Consum Lister from Team Zisho He. zour - Projet sorreys - 3 awas he QI. zour Reserve today Tx by CST. MA. Nap E Ch. (DCC) Sterry Counite - the togic planning for DJC - 11h LAW Short

I lay on gab - the

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
$\sqrt{7}$ A.2.15 Quality Assessment and Performance Improvement Program (Section 5D RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.15.g)	Chat reviews - rodom maply; intermedia allerto / statement world.
1. Did the bidder describe the process by which the Bidder would conduct retrospective monitoring of all substance abuse service providers in accordance with Section 5.D.1.2?	9 7 4
 Does the description include: The source of the evaluation tool with which the bidder would assess the appropriateness of clinical services delivered? What actions the bidder would propose to take with a provider who it has determined does not deliver services or follow contract guidelines appropriately, both in the event of an initial finding and of a repeated finding? 	Adult series elected to paye of lost sent. Ant payment with - claims do to ; paye of lost sent. Chair down review: - AIAM PAC-212; word select PAC 1th Chair Pault (137): which expect to paule - palmetry ents. (1) Start Pault (137): which expect to paule - Mo Not Meet: refer to Non sur hom. 7 A 2.14 - pa differently poors of all paule.
3. Does the proposed process appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	No Not Meet: refu to Nom for han - FA 2014 The Miterial more of it proved.
7A.2.15.g) \(1.12.
Did the bidder provide a copy of a 2008 QA plan that the bidder developed for a publicly funded client?	Robert Region Chilites i Startul Rows.
Does the QA plan depict a comprehensive, well-designed approach to quality assurance and performance improvement?	forth thirties - sec- + 11 to 13.

Carpeth Ks

Eal Efetures of Miss

Letter Moon

Letter Moon

Letter Moon

Alph light p 3k - hby?

Moritis and Messes - Committed & dyn du.

There tid nites - open - many determine!

bidder ivallie.	namon and an and a framework of the second and APPA APPA
	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.16 Prevention and Early Intervention (Section 4A.4.2 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
1. Did the bidder describe the strategy that it will invoke in order to increase access utilization of prevention and early intervention services?	1 10 1/2 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
2. Is the strategy appropriate and likely to be effective?	her Brewnonthas Oscam & colon sicia (/em, hor in heuling of thicken bith + 5ye. + heally consist of the form of th
3. Did the bidder describe its experience in implementing such strategies under of contracts?	her (south takes of
4. If so, do the other programs appear to be well conceived?	Changes & - Enland how, with standard for De Changes
5. Was the bidder able to demonstrate that the programs had measurably affected improvements in access to and utilization of prevention and early intervention	
6. Do the bidder's references confirm that the bidder has successfully implemente strategies to increase access to and utilization of prevention and early intervent services and that this work has had a measurable impact on members?	d

Navany interpreted EI

NM CBP - Strey New, Finities Payram
14-usina ford Shills My am.

Lokges thing - bild Sim syn. Ale house, genis.

- Community-hisel S &C for Childen for m - DD

- A CT for all h.

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.2.17 Management Information System (Section 6.4 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.17.a)	Cohnichms: fillyings pla wym. 756 - All imports.
 Did the bidder describe in detail the management information system the Bidder would implement for the Iowa Plan? Did the description emphasize the way in which the MIS system would function to gather required data and produce required reports as well as providing detail on hardware capabilities? Does the bidder's response address all of the other requirements of Section 6.4 of the RFP? 	Chrackers: fillyinty if do whom Fish - All imporator. *Bright forling 23 million By recipings agained most of a length Beau Count: 03:23 Condinant - All his velicibel in land land t. Earthe/ French Parts marks Consert & French Consert. Equal Consert Cellaholi, Hima (801/7) t Tels lings t. 1012 31 pm. Tels lings t. 1012 31 pm. Tels lings (name) years 3; Foresas Consert. \$\frac{1}{2} \text{Lings} \text{Lings} \text{Lings} \text{Lings} \text{Lings} \text{Lings} \text{Lings}
7A.2.17.b)	B) B / A A A A
 Did the bidder describe adaptations to its MIS which would be made to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided even if the Enrollee's Medicaid eligibility and Iowa Plan enrollment effective date were determined subsequent to the Eligible Person's month of application? Do the bidder's proposed adaptations to its MIS to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided to enrollees whose eligibility and Iowa Plan 	Retro Registation of state of yested 413,663 hote.
enrollment effective dates were determined subsequent to their month of application appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	
7A.2.17.c)	Ry. 12 m sel xm - type mi her/feel x to Mich.
Did the bidder describe an adequate process to ensure appropriate allocation of reimbursement when: services are being provided to a person who was a Medicaid enrollee and whose Medicaid eligibility terminated and the person then, during the same treatment episode, became a IDPH participant/ services are being provided to a person who was a IDPH participant receiving	will gith had gon forting sould an tape whit is rother trine folds of proble Will mak = Pyrs + enous correct assection
services and, during the same treatment episode, became a Medicaid enrollee/	Topic of Control Covers Control
2. Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has been able to provide a management information system that meets the business needs of other publicly funded programs that are comparable to the Iowa Plan?	

Bı	idder Name: U []				
		Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A	A.2.18 Financial Requirements (Section 6.6 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet			
7A.2.18.a)		Thurling: mintin 20+ = 2x minths menior Dag am			
1.	Did the bidder disclose the financial instruments the bidder would use to meet the	Thursday: muntin 20+ = 2x minths menior Day and Volution will leproit required for in the event ond.			
re	requirements of all funds and accounts required in Section 6.6 of the RFP? The requirements are that the Contractor must establish prior to the payment of the first capitation payment and maintain at all times, three accounts or funds as follows:	Suplasted 150 & of Contect by my many Medicais (le. m. had (83.5) of coppying) - tilling has withe in Ided in the hy			
	an Insolvency Protection Account ,that must contain at all times, an amount	Luchen had wil he in Ided in this had			
	equal to two (2) months of the anticipated annual Medicaid capitation amount;				
	 a Surplus Fund, in an amount equal to one and a half times the Contractor's average monthly Medicaid capitation payment; and 	ful for motous + 3 mosts, v/0, and			
***	 Working Capital in the form of cash or equivalent liquid assets equal to at least three months' operating expenses. 	with parish fut.			
2.	Did the bidder disclose the source of the capital required?	(amounty Revision + - Egoch ait. In CR -[did			
3.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	At party 25%			
	appear to be appropriate and adequate instruments?	ItestErn -inst day -and -			
4.	Does the bidder's source of capital appear to be sufficient and stable?				

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.2.18 Financial Requirements (Section 6.6 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet			
 Dis the bidder demonstrate that its organization is financially sound? Do the bidder's financial statements and those of any corporate parent support its claims? If the bidder is not financially sound, has it taken corrective measures to address and resolve any identified financial problems? Are these measures likely to be successful? Does the bidder attach the most recent two years of independently certified audited financial statements of the bidder's organization as well as the most recent two years of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable? Did the bidder provide its most recent three (3) years of independently certified audited financial statements of its organization as well as the most recent two years of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable? Do the audited statements reveal any financial problems, legal liabilities, or relevant corporate relationships that the bidder has not mentioned or that raise concern regarding financial stability, legal liability or corporate interests? 	Znos. Vlo integrals + restitut francis Thy dist het preside high 1.) his as ofthe hiddens did Zous / Zoub - onest reent " ??			
 Did the bidder discuss what impact the recent declines in the stock market have had on the Bidder's financial stability, how the Bidder has responded, and any implications for the Bidder's ability to meet the requirements of this RFP? Did the bidder demonstrate that recent stock market declines have not put in jeopardy the bidder's ability to meet the requirements of the RFP, including the maintenance of necessary liquidity? 	layer "independed" bhe simply - privately Mell. ilver on wall of. hove on direct, import on vir ability & filtill silk requirements.			

7A 2.19 Claims Payment by the Contractor (Section 6.7 of the REP)	Payment by the Contractor (Section 6.7 of the RFP) Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.19 Claims rayment by the Contractor (Section 6.7 of the Krr)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
7A.2.19.a)	Connectas plothers acum mulliple tricky
1. Did the bidder describe the process it would implement to ensure compliance with the required time frames for claims processing?	Son popu doins -> do. h) asis, -prom as EDI
2. Is the process consistent with the requirements set forth in Section 6.7 of the RFP?	HIFM 837P & IFE.
3. Does the process the bidder would implement to ensure the bidder's compliance with the required time frames for claims processing appear appropriate and likely to be effective?	15% w/ = 14 Angs; Gog w/in 30 dgs Kig wift. A). Will recent H mith hack rens w/in neck ?? Bridge Fuling Gji clae port-detied nursely
7A.2.19.b)	Briefel Fuling Cy, i_ close, out-detired numby
1. Did the bidder describe its experience implementing contracts in which the claims payment process supported the accurate and timely payment of claims as of the first day of operations?	Pay 2 inchentetion: MBHP-MA. (- this) 7/1/96 - chising prid of, in gues trul
2. Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has been able to successfully implement accurate and timely payment of claims as of the first day of comparable contracts?	7/00 -18-AVILLA.

Tt. 2/09 - Pey 2 climy ascices

		Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
7A.2.20	Fraud and Abuse (Section 6.8 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet				
7A.2.20.a)		Compleme Cayen - pregram in 75-3.				
1.	Did the bidder describe how it will comply with the Departments' Fraud and Abuse requirements?	141- Call of Corolary: Maching a shootyofty construct contra, translation, contrito of				
2.	Did the bidder provide examples of how its internal controls successfully work to prevent Fraud and Abuse?	inter poly.				
3.	Did the description completely address the requirements as defined within Section 6.8?					
4.	Is the bidder's proposed approach appropriate and likely to be effective?	Turing - complete tresh) in 90 tags - Foods About whith the May, light And 12.				

Inhostens had

Chewin

Chewin

Lentt

Anholis

A

Accordable Complian specific -> ED

(orpline sommitte -> red')

committee

Medico specifical co,

committee

Medico specifical co,

committee

Medico specifical co,

here

And his formation refusions
Systematistist these

Middle specifical co,

midpheness total

Pala mining - total - high when

Miling.

Invalje for , Ryone, Com the At Ah)

Complower Part + SIV - me FICH

DRA.

only recognit, many -

ret'l Holl Mare Int- Ford ADEC scrisdather - net (masclips can entrant invest) eth.

32

Bidder Name:

7A.3 Corporate Organization and Experience --- 15% This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 15 pages. Does it exceed? Y/N?

TACC CONTRACTOR OF THE PERMIT	Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
7A.3 Corporate Organization and Experience (Section 6.8 of the RFP)	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet				
 7A.3.a) Did the bidder provide the following information on all current publicly funded managed behavioral health care contracts? i. contract size: average monthly covered lives and annual revenues; ii. contract start date and duration; iii. general description of covered population and services (e.g., Medicaid AFDC + SSI, state-only population, mental health, substance abuse, state hospital, etc.); iv. the company or agency name and address, and 	how is small didned? man did not include medical cape #-				
v. a contact person and telephone number? 2. Does the information indicate that the bidder has experience with contracts that are comparable in size and scope to the Iowa Plan?	<u>16></u>				
3. Did the bidder include letters of support or endorsement from any individual, organization, agency, interest group or other entity despite the prohibition in the RFP from doing so?	NO				

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
7A.3.1 Organizational Information	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet	
7A.3.1.a)					
1. Does the bidder provide all of the following (as required by the RFP)?					
 lists and organizational charts showing any and all owners, voting and nonvoting members of the Board of Directors, officers and executive management staff, including CEO, COO, CFO, Medical Director, UM Director, QM Director and MIS Director or equivalent functional personnel? the curriculum vitae for the aforementioned executive management staff? if the bidder is a wholly or partly owned subsidiary or partnership, a description of the legal, financial, organizational and operational arrangements and relationships between the bidder and its parent(s) and any other related organizations? an organizational chart depicting the bidder in relation to the corporations to which it is a subsidiary or partner? if the bidder has subsidiaries, a description of the legal, financial, organizational and operational arrangements and relationships between the bidder and its subsidiaries? an organizational chart depicting any subsidiaries in relation to the bidder? 	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100				
2. Are any key positions vacant?	700		•		
3. Do senior officers appear to be appropriately qualified?	425				
4. Are there any apparent corporate relationships that would introduce a conflict of interest if the bidder were awarded the contract?	~J				
5. If the bidder is a subsidiary or partnership, are the parent corporations or partners engaged in business activities that are complimentary to, and likely to provide long term support to, the bidder?	r la				
6. If the organization is a partnership, is the line of authority clearly delineated?	ala				

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
7A.3.2 Disclosure of Financial or Related Party Interest	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet	
7A.3.2.a)	<u></u>				
 Does the bidder disclose any legal, financial, contractual or related party interests which the bidder(s) shares with any provider or group of providers, or provide a statement of no financial or related party interest? 	NA.				
7A.3.2.b)		Variable (All April			
1. Does the bidder (and if the bid involves a partnership or another type of joint venture, any of the bidders) share a financial or related party interest in any provider or group of providers, does the bidder set forth a mechanism by which it proposes to prevent any preferential treatment to those entities with which it shares a financial or related party interest?	N/A				
2. If the response to #1, above, is affirmative, does this mechanism effectively prevent preferential treatment to those provider entities in which it shares a financial or related party interest?					
 3. Is it likely that the bidder's mechanism will prevent the following situations which might indicate an attempt to ensure financial gain (from RFP Section 5C.3): a change of the distribution of referrals or reimbursement among providers within a level of care? referral by the Contractor to only those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? preferential financial arrangements by the Contractor with those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? different requirements for credentialing, privileging, profiling or other network management strategies for those providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? distribution of community reimbursement moneys in a way which gives preference to providers with whom the Contractor shares an organizational relationship? substantiated complaints by enrollees of limitations on their access to participating providers of their choice within an approved level of care? 			,		

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.3.3 Disclosure of Legal Actions	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.3.3.a)				
 As far as the evaluator is aware, did the bidder disclose all relevant information in response to the following RFP questions and requirements or make a statement that there is no applicable information (as required by the RFP)? During the last five years, has the bidder or any subcontractor identified in this proposal had a contract for services terminated for convenience, nonperformance, non-allocation of funds, or any other reason for which termination occurred before completion of all obligations under the initial contract provisions? If so, provide full details related to the termination. During the last five years, has the bidder been subject to default or received notice of default or failure to perform on a contract? If so, provide full details related to the default including the other party's name, address, and telephone number. During the last five years, describe any damages, penalties, disincentives assessed or payments withheld, or anything of value traded or given up by the bidder under any of its existing or past contracts as it relates to services performed that are similar to the services contemplated by the RFP and the resulting Contract. Indicate the reason for and the estimated cost of that incident to the bidder. During the last five years, list and summarize pending or threatened litigation, administrative or regulatory proceedings, or similar matters that could affect the ability of the Bidder to perform the services contemplated in this RFP. During the last five years, have any irregularities been discovered in any of the accounts maintained by the Bidder on behalf of others? If so, describe the circumstances of irregularities or variances and disposition of resolving the irregularities or variances. The bidder shall also state whether it or any owners, officers, primary partners, or staff providing services of any subcontractor who may be involved with providing the services contemplated in this	how and pronting lake deliveryly; and	smplithau y: list e	to he	

Bidder Name:	
Didder Ivalite.	

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.3.3 Disclosure of Legal Actions	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
7A.3.3.a) (continued)				
2. If the bidder disclosed that it, or one of its subcontractors, had defaulted on a contract or had a contract terminated for cause, and the project contact person was contacted, what was the explanation given for the problem and does it raise concerns regarding the bidder's qualifications as the State's Contractor?	r (x			
3. If the bidder disclosed that, during the previous five years, legal action was taken against the bidder or if any legal actions are pending, does the explanation and status update provided by the bidder alleviate any concerns regarding the bidder's qualifications as the State's Contractor?	Y97			
4. If the bidder's current corporate configuration is related to mergers, did the bidder provide the requisite responses to the questions above for all components of the merged entities (as required)?	r la			

7A.4 Project Organization and Staffing - 15%
This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 10 pages.
Does it exceed? Y/N?

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):			
7A.4.1 Organizational Chart	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
Did the bidder provide an organizational chart that demonstrates: a) the bidder's corporate structure?	C. Y			
b) the reporting relationship which staff assigned to the Iowa Plan would have with other parts of the bidder's corporate structure?	19			
2. Does the proposed reporting relationship between staff assigned to the Iowa Plan and other parts of the bidder's corporate structure appear appropriate and likely to be effective? Does it appear that the Iowa Plan-assigned staff will receive sufficient corporate attention and support?	\a_,			

		Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
7A.	4.2 Chart or Other Presentation	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet				
1.	Does the chart or other presentation provided by the bidder clearly show the following? a) every position which would be working on the Iowa Plan? b) the name and qualifications of the proposed Iowa-based individual who would have management responsibility for Iowa Plan operations? c) the reporting relationships between those positions? d) the credentials required of individuals to be hired for each clinical and management position? e) the office locations of each individual?	Assec. Upol opso Polaria?				
2.	Do the types and numbers of staff to be assigned to the Iowa Plan appear to be sufficient in number and have the appropriate credentials?	y >>				
3.	Are adequate resources dedicated to serving DPH Participants?					
4.	Is the staffing distributed appropriately given the allowable distribution of administrative costs to each funding stream (i.e., Medicaid 13.5% or less; DPH, 3.5% or less)?	- 170-1 not identiful speciety them medicals.				
5.	Are the UM, QA, claims and systems senior management positions appropriately qualified and reporting at an appropriately senior level of the organization?					

Bidder Name:	:	
--------------	---	--

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
7A.4.3 Chart or Other Presentation	Meets With Distinction Meets		Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet
 Does the chart or other presentation provided by the bidder clearly show the following? a) the subcontractors (excluding network providers) who would be working on the lowa Plan? b) the responsibilities of those subcontractors? c) special skills of those subcontractors? d) the location of the office of each subcontractor from which they will provide their subcontracted services? If there is more than one subcontractor, does the number of subcontractors appear to be too large or to potentially hinder the bidder's successful operation of the 	N/A	- 11	s, hs.		
program? 3. Did the bidder propose to subcontract any functions that the evaluator believes are integral to successful program operation and should not be subcontracted?					

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):
7A.4.4 Financial Information	Meets With Distinction Meets Partially Meets Fails to Meet
Did the Bidder provide the following information:	Attechnot 5 5 nt adital firecoiss. (L's Attechnot 4 Combinel 05/16 Syev.
2. Do the financial statements or alternative financial information demonstrate that the bidder has the financial wherewithal to serve as a stable partner to the state?	07/68
3. Do the financial statements or alternative financial information raise any concerns about the bidder's qualifications to serve as the Iowa Plan contractor?	It oppose that they have formed about the
4. Do the references provided by the bidder confirm that the bidder has conducted its financial business in an appropriate manner and is qualified, based on its financial practices and financial status alone, to serve as the Iowa Plan contractor?	YS Bill it Amico Wiching Morth VA Tre

7A.5 Budget Worksheet and Narrative - 10% This section of the bid, excluding those portions not to be counted as indicated in the RFP, should not exceed 3 pages. Does it exceed? Y/N?

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
7A.5 Budget Worksheet and Narrative	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet	
 Does the bidder propose that the percentage of the Medicaid capitation payment allocated to the Medicaid Administrative Fund will be less than the RFP-specified maximum of 13.5%? 	49 12.75%.				
2. Does the bidder propose that the percentage of the IDPH payment allocated to the IDPH Administrative Fund will be less than the RFP-specified maximum of 3.5%?	NO 3.58				
 3. Does the bidder propose using the Community Reinvestment Account fund on: services that would benefit eligible persons? services that the bidder has identified in response to 7A.2.6.b), 7A.2.13.b), or other questions within Section 7 of the RFP? (this question is to assess internal consistency within the bidder's response) 	Y2) Y3				

84.756 MLR

Bidder Name: _	<u> </u>	<u>/v · </u>
----------------	----------	--

	Sub-Section Score (circle one):				
7A.6 Required Certifications	Meets With Distinction	Meets	Partially Meets	Fails to Meet	
Does the bidder include all the required certifications? (Y/N) RFP Certifications and Mandatory Guarantee Release of Information Mandatory Requirements and Reasons for Disqualification	YS YS-behind TL.				

V.		
Bidder Name:	Value Ontion	3
	value Option	
•	~	3

	Strengths and Weaknesses of the Response Submission
.2.8.a) If the bidder attached guidelines for the application of ASAM criteria, do the guidelines the bidder would use for the authorization or retrospective monitoring of	Whenever SA is referenced, utilization management guidelines identified are (Massachusetts) MB and not ASAM focused.
substance abuse services appear to be appropriate?	Weakness: Always reference Massachusetts not Iowa.
	Referenced ASAM but not how providers will use it and monitor.
	No "guidelines" – just reference ASAM at end of each covered service; assure provider has an understanding of ASAM. Doesn't state what is required of authorizations.
	Strength: Retro Reviews - ok - monitor ASAM (in section 6 rather than 7A.2
	Then ID criteria for continued stay, but minimal compared to ASAM. Provide examples of Massachusetts and Iowa instructed to see ASAM criteria.
	Strength: State they use ASAM but minimal description of how they will use
'	

ValueOptions

Iowa Plan Reprocurement Evaluation

7A.2.18.a)

requirements are that the Contractor must establish prior to the payment of the first capitation payment and maintain at all times, three accounts or funds as requirements of all funds and accounts required in Section 6.6 of the RFP? The Did the bidder disclose the financial instruments the bidder would use to meet the

Insolvency Protection Account Surplus Fund Working Capital

deposit, money market funds, short-term commercial paper, and cash Yes, they state that they will have investments in a combination of certificates of

Did the bidder disclose the source of the capital required?

Yes, they stated that ValueOptions would provide the funding for these accounts.

Do the bidder's proposed instruments meet the requirements of Section 6.6 of the RFP and appear to be appropriate and adequate instruments?

only \$1.8 M. They do have \$316 M in current assets, but \$212 M is restricted ValueOptions cash and cash equivalents balance as of December 31, 2007 was

Does the bidder's source of capital appear to be sufficient and stable?

reserve accounts. Even there parent company FHC Health Systems has a relatively low cash balance of \$25.8 M) It is not apparent how ValueOptions of Iowa will be able to fund the initial

7A.2.18.b)

Did the bidder demonstrate that its organization is financially sound?

highlights. We did not receive any statements from ValueOptions stating any financial

claims? Do the bidder's financial statements and those of any corporate parent support it's

low cash and cash equivalents balance as of December 31, 2008, relatively account. speaking. FHC had a balance of \$25.8 million in its cash and cash equivalents ValueOptions and it's parent company, FHC Health Systems, Inc, have a very

and resolve any identified financial problems? Are these measures likely to be successful? If the bidder is not financially sound, has it taken corrective measures to address

taken, but their Current Ratio has improved over the past three years The bidder did not make any statements claiming what corrective measures were

years of the financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable? financial statements of the bidder's organization as well as the most recent two Did the bidder attach the most recent two years of independently certified audited

company, FHC Health Systems, Inc. for ValueOptions, Inc and also provided years 2007, and 2008 for it's parent The bidder provided audited financial statements for years 2005, 2006, and 2007 1.6; 1.7 miss

audited financial statements of it's organization as well as the most recent two years of financial statements for the bidder's parent company, if applicable? Did the bidder provide it's most recent three years of independently certified

The bidder provided audited financial statements for years 2005, 2006, and 2007 for ValueOptions, Inc and also provided years 2007, and 2008 for it's parent company, FHC Health Systems, Inc.

regarding financial stability, legal liability, or corporate interests? corporate relationships that the bidder has not mentioned or that raise concern Do the audited statements reveal an financial problems, legal liabilities, or relevant



7A.2.18.c)

\$34.9) M. Value Options management believes there are numerous meritorious amount of liability the company will ultimately incur. believes that a loss is probable and therefore has recorded its best estimate of the grounds to appeal the verdict, and intends to do so. However, management also There is a lawsuit that is pending post-trial motions as of March 2009 where a reselict was returned against the bidder along with others in the amount of

implications for the bidder's ability to meet the requirements of this RFP? on the bidder's financial stability, how the bidder has responded, and any Did the bidder discuss what impact the recent declines in the stock market have had

The bidder did not discuss this issue

maintenance of necessary liquidity? jeopardy the bidder's ability to meet the requirements of the RFP, including the Did the bidder demonstrate that recent stock market declines have not put in

The bidder did not discuss this issue

7A.2.17 Management Information System (Section 6.4 of the RFP)	Strengths and Weaknesses of the Response Submission
7A.2.17.c)	1. Yes
 Did the bidder describe an adequate process to ensure appropriate allocation of reimbursement when: 	Strength:
 i. services are being provided to a person who was a Medicaid enrollee and whose Medicaid eligibility terminated and the person then, during the same treatment episode, became a IDPH participant? ii. services are being provided to a person who was a IDPH participant receiving services and, during the same treatment episode, became a Medicaid enrollee? 	To assure compliance with this requirement, will work with DPH to do a comparison of Enrollees included in the DPH client count with the Medicaid enrollment file of the same month. As long as the I-SMART number is retained in the file provided by DPH to VOI, the VOI reporting analysts will be able to identify potential errors in allocation by matching part of the I-Smart number and segments of Medicaid Enrollees' social security numbers.
	Based on policies established by DPH and DHS, DPH will be considered the "payor of last resort." Therefore, VOI will ensure that all substance abuse programs comply with guidelines.
	Weakness:
	N/A

7A.2.17 Management Information System (Section 6.4 of the RFP)	Strengths and Weaknesses of the Response Submission
 Did the bidder describe adaptations to its MIS which would be made to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided even if the Enrollee's Medicaid eligibility and Iowa Plan enrollment effective date were determined subsequent to the Eligible Person's month of application? Do the bidder's proposed adaptations to its MIS to allow reimbursement for covered, required and optional services provided to enrollees whose eligibility and Iowa Plan enrollment effective dates were determined subsequent to their month of application appear appropriate and likely to be effective? 	 Yes Yes Yes Strength: To address retroactive eligibility and ongoing service request needs, propose the use of our Enrollee registration process available to providers through ProviderConnect. If the Enrollee is being seen on an urgent basis, the provider will contact the Clinical Customer Service unit, which will create a "temporary" Enrollee record, and services will be authorized. For the lowa Plan, will ensure that the MMIS eligibility and FACS data is loaded promptly based on the agreed-upon frequency (e.g. daily/weekly) to minimize the risk of denying a claim inappropriately. To ensure duplicate registrations are not entered into the system, as the provider creates the registration, system will validate no other record for person already exists within CONNECTIONS platform. Weakness: N/A

Bidder Name: ValueOptions of Iowa, LLC., wholly owned by ValueOptions, Inc. of Norfolk, Virginia

7A.2.17 Management Information System (Section 6.4 of the RFP)	Strengths and Weaknesses of the Response Submission
 7A.2.17 Management Information System (Section 6.4 of the RFP) 7A.2.17.a) Did the bidder describe in detail the management information system the Bidder would implement for the Iowa Plan? Did the description emphasize the way in which the MIS system would function to gather required data and produce required reports as well as providing detail on hardware capabilities? Does the bidder's response address all of the other requirements of Section 6.4 of the RFP? Section 6.4 At a minimum, receives, processes and reports data to and from the following management information systems: IDPH lowa Service Management and Report Tool (I-SMART); DHS Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS); DHS Title XIX eligibility system; and MHI (mental health institute) information system. The management information system implemented by the Contractor shall conform 	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Strength: Manages 25 Medicaid/public assistance programs covering more than 4.5 million lives in 12 states. Many programs serve areas with more than 100,000 residents. Operate state, municipal and county contracts in large urban areas, as well as in rural and frontier areas. Maximize the use of state and federal dollars through a Braided Funding(sm) financial model. Braided Funding(sm) helps states pool Medicaid dollars and other funds, improves coordination between agencies, enhances accountability, and allocates scarce taxpayer dollars in the most efficient manner possible. CONNECTIONS is a suite of fully integrated and customizable applications designed to support innovative behavioral
to the following general system requirements: On-Line Access On-line access to all major files and data elements within the MIS. Timely Processing Daily file updates: member, provider, prior authorization, and claims to be processed. Weekly file updates: reference files, claim payments. Edits, Audits, and Error Tracking Comprehensive automated edits and audits to ensure that data are valid and that contract requirements are met. System should track errors by type and frequency. It should also be able to	 healthcare programs. The CONNECTIONS platform represents over 20 years of behavioral health experience and associated best practices in supporting public sector behavioral healthcare programs. Claims processing capabilities in ClaimsConnect is augmented by the integrated eligibility/enrollment, provider, electronic claims submission, inquiry tracking, data warehouse, and interactive voice response subsystems. FileConnect will transfer files to and from the State's MMIS and the Mental Health Institution MHI systems.

Bidder Name: ValueOptions of Iowa, LLC., wholly owned by ValueOptions, Inc. of Norfolk, Virginia

maintain adequate audit trails to allow for the reconstruction of processing events.

System Controls and Balancing

Adequate system of controls and balancing to ensure that all data input can be accounted for and that all outputs can be validated.

Back-up of Processing and Transaction Files

- 1. 24-hour back-up: eligibility verification, enrollment/eligibility update process, prior authorization processing;
- 2. 72-hour back-up: claims processing, and
- 3. 2-week back-up: all other processes

- The claim and encounter extract process will suspend the submission of a claim or encounter if the related provider record has not been successfully extracted for submission to the MMIS. The MMIS provider extract response file is evaluated for rejected provider records, and each denied record is analyzed for correction within one week.
- Reviewed the I-SMART program as well as the reports published and distributed to the providers. Will be able to at least meet this requirement. Direct experience in providing report cards to providers via the web and would utilize our experience to bolster the current process.
- Application resides on an IBM iSeries (AS/400) i5 570 application server running IBM's V5R4 OS/400 operating system.
- Majority of the managed care functions for the State of lowa will be performed by our lowa-based staff in Des Moines, as well as the three satellite offices located in lowa.

Weakness:

 Ad hoc reports requested by clients, which are based on our current data structures are usually developed and delivered to client within 10 days from the date that the specifications have been outlined. (Could turnaround time be improved?)

I be in court + parel + closs - web bind entoru care / free x hig tresty.

I there Grave I system to their Rx atting + ido Hy GIP! 3 vais but cellebus hely to Dept to commiss to beely C.D.D.

w. Specific citers for highwold high measurest me thay

であれる

Phones Connect by you while New of Condulies - Alw & whis they in - 10 Abs. - Gaps in advance, both with site this, early d/e of Rx; Apliate is - (Shirt, powe fol system

Consume Jetifiche Rom straph is MA. -> ZA.

GA Man tought: Tryt: Conduin - Bis

(W. To mylone), tr. last orally of performance by squam of not complifind to the solven so hundre 7A.215.c - not alpat) afternd which the tection, Mesuros (chipation UBA-PA

3. ct.g. number bying 13 Par 15 lymy new 4tm 2-15-d. 10 1-37 Robert + of retor. う

のなれたいろ

And med his

Ġ.

Valor linkm

F. Rhut wealth pass for who public, pails, - chapt syderite, then public constition -) dispulled; purch.

I calle blast beyon wounds lest protes - about naives 20 pish's 5- Real From politice performs paties on Jahllyon comes system. W. O. Specket & B. C. & teck

the lights in my: every twi vole in 72.5 pum?

UM. On example heary on whiteher disty is opered to punture, orting, quity, this to,

German of Simmer deth : is this allegues ?

5. Act 117EM (087/ WAA

Magellow Agin by agin crely is of squary may sparfix on produlevel stacking,

7.4.50

inexplosure No time for at 25 de 1940 of 12 in le.

Lewis 1 12-conte 1/60

Ed as 5 feb - ach & 11/89

St per 34ppt ?

wapen compared in flat labor to ortych on +17pm is

"

.

.

.

Regulation perm as to say effect full for thereing construction of property. The subject of position is the subject of property.

in measurely indicates on the warment when it practice that her is the is in a factorial mooning that the interior is a factorial to the first interior of the horters of the horters. V- My mich datilion of July 10 sull les 16 cuilles is the month halthas.

....

5. Authorized wastudo of magner and alignent and alignent of the Districtions.

S. Ux of Physicin + theles (As

5. Derektors so prend felkhows fra - By of 99 com 39 on Harlin Reference / Parlin Referen

Child Byon another built had an one outh.

Puret per-de ending of many 1791 6 612 your fords . The property. V. Parythand her my aftered by 160 ty, other 14th

W. Texas Overella, F. Hilly WAK 1: 170